

So CCRs are of no less expressive power than semaphores. Obviously, they have no more expressive power than semaphores.

Question: Can you simulate a CCR by using semaphores?

5.3.1 Example - condition synchronization

We apply CCRs to the producer-consumer problem:

```

PROGRAM PCCCR; CONST
  BuffSize = ...;
TYPE
  ITEM = ...;
  BUFFTTYPE = RECORD
    NextIn: integer;
    NextOut: integer;
    Count: integer;
    elements: ARRAY[0..BuffSize-1] OF ITEM
  END;
VAR
  Buff: SHARED BUFFTTYPE;
PROCESS producer;
BEGIN
  REPEAT
    produce(product);
    REGION Buff WHEN Buff.Count < BuffSize DO
      place(product)
    FOREVER
  END;
PROCESS consumer;
BEGIN
  REPEAT
    REGION Buff WHEN Buff.Count <> 0 DO
      take(product)
    FOREVER
  END;
BEGIN (*main program*)
  (*initialize Buff*)
  COBEGIN
    producer; consumer
  COEND
END.

```

Drawbacks of CCRs

Though CCRs are an improvement on semaphores, they still suffer from shortcomings. For examples: