Deadlock



Concepts:	system deadlock: no further progress four necessary & sufficient conditions
Models:	deadlock - no eligible actions
Practice:	blocked threads

Aim: deadlock avoidance - to design systems where deadlock cannot occur.

Deadlock: four necessary and sufficient conditions

• Serially reusable resources:

the processes involved share resources which they use under mutual exclusion.

• Incremental acquisition:

processes hold on to resources already allocated to them while waiting to acquire additional resources.

• No pre-emption:

once acquired by a process, resources cannot be pre-empted (forcibly withdrawn) but are only released voluntarily.

♦ Wait-for cycle:

a circular chain (or cycle) of processes exists such that each process holds a resource which its successor in the cycle is waiting to acquire.

3



Concurrency: Deadlock

4

6.1 Deadlock analysis - primitive processes

- deadlocked state is one with no outgoing transitions
- ♦ in FSP: **STOP** process



- animation to produce a trace.
- analysis using LTSA: Trace to DEADLOCK:
 (shortest trace to stop)
 north
 north

deadlock analysis - parallel composition

 in systems, deadlock may arise from the parallel composition of interacting processes.



deadlock analysis - avoidance

- acquire resources in the same order?
- Timeout:

Deadlock? Progress?

Concurrency: Deadlock

7

6.2 Dining Philosophers

Five philosophers sit around a circular table. Each philosopher spends his life alternately thinking and eating. In the centre of the table is a large bowl of spaghetti. A philosopher needs two forks to eat a helping of spaghetti.

One fork is placed between each pair of philosophers and they agree that each will only use the fork to his immediate right and left.



Concurrency: Deadlock

8

Dining Philosophers - model structure diagram

Each FORK is a shared resource with actions **get** and **put**.

When hungry, each PHI L must first get his right and left forks before he can start eating.



Dining Philosophers - model



Table of philosophers:

```
||DINERS(N=5)= forall [i:0..N-1]
   (phil[i]:PHIL ||
   {phil[i].left,phil[((i-1)+N)%N].right}::FORK
   ).
```

Can this system deadlock?

Dining Philosophers - model analysis

Trace to DEADLOCK: phil.0.sitdown phil.0.right.get phil.1.sitdown phil.1.right.get phil.2.sitdown phil.2.right.get phil.3.sitdown phil.3.right.get phil.4.sitdown phil.4.right.get

This is the situation where all the philosophers become hungry at the same time, sit down at the table and each philosopher picks up the fork to his right.

The system can make no further progress since each philosopher is waiting for a fork held by his neighbor i.e. a *wait-for cycle* exists!

Dining Philosophers

Deadlock is easily detected in our model.

How easy is it to detect a potential deadlock in an implementation?



Dining Philosophers - implementation in Java



Dining Philosophers - Fork monitor

```
class Fork {
  private boolean taken=false;
  private PhilCanvas display;
  private int identity;
  Fork(PhilCanvas disp, int id)
    { display = disp; identity = id; }
  synchronized void put() {
    taken=false;
    display.setFork(identity,taken);
    notify();
  synchronized void get()
     throws java.lang.InterruptedException {
    while (taken) wait();
    taken=true;
    display.setFork(identity,taken);
```

taken

encodes the state of the fork

Dining Philosophers - Philosopher implementation

```
class Philosopher extends Thread {
  public void run() {
    try {
                                            // thinking
      while (true) {
         view.setPhil(identity,view.THINKING);
         sleep(controller.sleepTime()); // hungry
         view.setPhil(identity,view.HUNGRY);
                                            // gotright chopstick
         right.get();
        view.setPhil(identity,view.GOTRIGHT);
                                                        Follows
         sleep(500);
                                            // eating
         left.get();
                                                        from the
         view.setPhil(identity,view.EATING);
                                                        model
         sleep(controller.eatTime());
                                                        (sitting
         right.put();
                                                        down and
         left.put();
                                                        leaving the
                                                        table have
     catch (java.lang.InterruptedException e){}
                                                        been
                                                        omitted).
```

Dining Philosophers - implementation in Java

```
Code to create the philosopher
threads and fork monitors:
for (int i =0; i<N; ++i)</pre>
  fork[i] = new Fork(display,i);
for (int i =0; i<N; ++i){</pre>
  phil[i] =
    new Philosopher
         (this,i,fork[(i-1+N)%N],fork[i]);
  phil[i].start();
```

Dining Philosophers

To ensure deadlock occurs eventually, the slider control may be moved to the left. This reduces the time each philosopher spends thinking and eating.

This "speedup" increases the probability of deadlock occurring.



Deadlock-free Philosophers

Deadlock can be avoided by ensuring that a wait-for cycle cannot exist. *How?* PHIL(I=0) Introduce an = (when (I%2==0) sitdown asymmetry into our ->left.get->right.get definition of ->eat philosophers. ->left.put->right.put ->arise->PHIL Use the identity I of when (I%2==1) sitdown a philosopher to make ->right.get->left.get even numbered philosophers get ->eat their left forks first, ->left.put->right.put ->arise->PHIL odd their right first. Other strategies? 18

Maze example - shortest path to "deadlock"

We can exploit the shortest path trace produced by the deadlock detection mechanism of *LTSA* to find the shortest path out of a maze to the **STOP** process!



We must first model the **MAZE**.

Each position can be modelled by the moves that it permits. The **MAZE** parameter gives the starting position.

```
Concurrency: Deadlock
```

Maze example - shortest path to "deadlock"



Summary

◆ Concepts

- deadlock: no futher progress
- four necessary and sufficient conditions:
 - serially reusable resources
 - ♦ incremental acquisition
 - ♦ no preemption
 - wait-for cycle

Models

Aim: deadlock avoidance - to design systems where deadlock cannot occur.

• no eligable actions (analysis gives shortest path trace)

◆ Practice

