Stochastic multi-scale models of biomolecular networks

Heinz Koeppl

Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology and Department of Biology Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany

...joint work with A. Ganguly and D. Altintan (SIAM Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, in press, 2015/arxiv)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Outline

1 Introduction and motivation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

2 Model setup

3 Simulation studies

4 Conclusions

Our research

- Statistical inference (ML) methods
- Stochastic analysis of systems
- Single-cell experiments
- Microfluidic chips





(日)

э

Example: osmotic stress response

High-osmolarity induced gene expression in yeast (PNAS'12)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Motivation: Cell biology is multi-scale (...and multi-level)



System-level understanding requires coupling of cellular processes.

- Cross-regulation between signaling, gene expression metabolism.
- Different time-scales results in computational bottleneck.
- On top of that: spatial effects (e.g. membrane trafficking) often significant - additional scale of resolution.

Karr et al, Cell'12

Population models (aka Petri nets)

$$\begin{aligned} R_k : \nu_{k1}^- S_1 + \nu_{k2}^- S_2 + \ldots + \nu_{km}^- S_m \xrightarrow{c_k} \nu_{k1}^+ S_1 + \nu_{k2}^+ S_2 + \ldots + \nu_{km}^+ S_m \\ \nu_k &= (\nu_{k1}^+ - \nu_{k1}^-, \nu_{k2}^+ - \nu_{k2}^-, \ldots, \nu_{km}^+ - \nu_{km}^-)^T \text{ Stoichiometric change vector} \\ X(t) &= (X_1(t), X_2(t), \ldots, X_m(t))^T \text{ State vector }, c_k \in \mathbb{R}_+: \text{ Rate constant} \\ X(t) &= X(t-) + \nu_k \text{ occurrence of } R_k \text{ updates the state vector} \end{aligned}$$

Jump process formulation (CTMC)

Continuous time, countable states - stochastic simulation or chemical master equation.

Diffusion approximation

Continuous time, continuous state - stochastic differential equation, Fokker-Planck equation.

 Mean-field approximation - thermodynamic limit Continuous time, continuous state - ordinary differential equation (RRE).

Multi-scale models

Combine different model description to exploit multi-scale nature. *Addressed questions:*

 How do we partition a given CTMC model into discrete and approximate, continuous parts?

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- **2** How can we bound the error for a certain partition?
- 3 How can we simulate such a multi-scale model?

Research agenda

- Propose partitioning according to Poisson approximation.
- Perform path-wise, strong error analysis.
- Design dynamic partitioning algorithm to simulate multi-scale systems efficiently.

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬる

Random time-change representation

$$R_k: \nu_{k1}^- S_1 + \nu_{k2}^- S_2 + \ldots + \nu_{km}^- S_m \xrightarrow{c_k} \nu_{k1}^+ S_1 + \nu_{k2}^+ S_2 + \ldots + \nu_{km}^+ S_m$$

Propensity function example: $a_k(x) = c_k \prod_{i=1}^{m} {\binom{x_i}{\nu_{ki}^-}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, r$

e.g. $R_k: S_1 + S_2 \xrightarrow{c_k} *, a_k(X) = c_k X_1 X_2$ or $S_1 \xrightarrow{c_k} *, a_k(X) = c_k X_1$ With $a_k(X)dt \equiv$ the probability of reaction R_k to occur in time interval (t, t + dt]. A path-wise representation of the CTMC is as follows

$$X(t) = X(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \xi_k \left(\int_0^t a_k(X(s)) \mathrm{d}s \right) \nu_k,$$

where ξ_k 's are independent Poisson processes.

Scaling

The abundance of species and time-scales of reactions can vary over different orders of magnitude. We introduce additional scaling variables to make that explicit (other variables are O(1)).

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bar{X}_i^N &=& X_i/N^{\alpha_i}, & \bar{X}_i^N = O(1), \\ d_k &=& c_k/N^{\beta_k}, & d_k = O(1), \\ \text{Example} & a_k(X) &=& c_kX_i = N^{\beta_k}d_kN^{\alpha_i}\bar{X}_i^N \equiv N^{\beta_k+\alpha_i}\lambda(\bar{X}_i^N) \\ a_k(X) &=& N^{\beta_k+\nu_k^-\cdot\alpha}\lambda_k(\bar{X}^N), \implies \lambda_k(\cdot) = O(1), \\ t &\to& t \, N^{\gamma}, \\ X^N(t) &=& \bar{X}^N(t \, N^{\gamma}). \end{array}$$

We obtain random time-change model for normalized variables

$$X^N(t) = X^N(0) + \sum_{k=1}^R \xi_k \left(N^{
ho_k} \int_0^t \lambda_k(X^N(s)) \mathrm{d}s
ight) \, \nu_k^N,$$

where we define $\rho_k = \gamma + \beta_k + \nu_k^- \cdot \alpha$ and $\nu_{ki}^N = \nu_{ki}/N^{\alpha_i}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Idea

• High intensity Poisson process can well be approximated by Brownian motion (plus dift), i.e. $\xi(t) \approx t + W(t)$.

Theorem (Kolmos, Major, Tusnady)

There exists a Brownian motion W(t) on the same probability space as $\xi(t)$ such that

$$\Gamma = \sup_{t} \frac{\tilde{\xi}(t) - W(t)}{\log(2 \lor t)} < \infty \quad (a.s.),$$

where $\tilde{\xi}(t) = \xi(t) - t$ is the centered Poisson process.

That is

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\widetilde{\xi}(nt)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}W(nt)
ight]\leq rac{\log(2\vee nt)}{\sqrt{n}}\Gamma,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}W(nt)$ is a standard Brownian motion W(t).

Strategy: Replace reaction count process of high scaling factor N^{ρ_k} by an diffusion approximation.

Multi-scale approximation

Jump-Diffusion Approximating process (first reaction replaced)

$$\begin{split} Y^{N}(t) &= X^{N}(0) + N^{\rho_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{1}(Y^{N}(s)) \mathrm{d}s \, \nu_{1}^{N} + W_{1} \left(N^{\rho_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{1}(Y^{N}(s)) \mathrm{d}s \right) \nu_{1}^{N} \\ &+ \sum_{k>1} \xi_{k} \left(N^{\rho_{k}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{k}(Y^{N}(s)) \mathrm{d}s \right) \, \nu_{k}^{N} \end{split}$$

Piece-wise deterministic Markov process (Jump-ODE)

$$egin{aligned} Y^{N}(t) &= X^{N}(0) + N^{
ho_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{1}(Y^{N}(s)) \mathrm{d}s \,
u_{1}^{N} \ &+ \sum_{k>1} \xi_{k} \left(N^{
ho_{k}} \int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{k}(Y^{N}(s)) \mathrm{d}s
ight) \,
u_{k}^{N} \end{aligned}$$

Between two jumps overall system state $Y^N(t)$ evolves deterministically.

Bounding the error

• Compute bound on path-wise error for some $T \ge 0$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} \mathsf{E}|X^N(t) - Y^N(t)| = \sup_{t \leq T} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathsf{E}|X^N_i(t) - Y^N_i(t)|$$

for different reactions replaced by an approximation.

Theorem

Let $X^{N}(t)$ be the exact jump process and $Y^{N}(t)$ its jump-diffusion approximation with reaction k = 1 replaced then for $T \ge 0$

$$\sup_{t \leq T} E|X^{N}(t) - Y^{N}(t)| \leq C_{T}(C' \log N^{\rho_{1}}/N^{m_{1}} + K''/N^{2\rho_{1}+m_{1}})$$

where $|v_k^N| = O(N^{-m_k}) = O(\sum_{i \in R_k} N^{-\alpha_i})$ with $R_k = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid v_{ki} \neq 0\}$ and with the reaction non-specific constant $C_T = \exp\left(2\sum_{k=1}^r N^{\rho_k}|\nu_k^N|L_kT\right)$

From a bound to an algorithm

 Convert reaction-specific error terms involving scaling variables back to states and propensities

$$\delta_k = \log N^{\rho_k} / N^{m_k} + 1 / N^{2\rho_k + m_k}$$

- Fix time horizon $\Delta = O(N^{\gamma})$ and recall that $a_k(X)\Delta = O(N^{\rho_k})$ and $(N^{-m_k}) = O(\sum_{i \in R_k} N^{-\alpha_i}) = O(\sum_{i \in R_k} 1/X_i)$
- Then the jump-diffusion error criterion is

$$\delta_k(\Delta) = \sum_{i \in R_k} rac{\log(a_k(X)\Delta)}{X_i} + rac{1}{(a_k(X)\Delta)^2 X_i}$$

Fix approximation accuracy ε and check at every Δ for each reaction k whether the incurred error of approximating it by diffusion is below ε.

Example: Bursty birth-death process

Consider the single species S

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{c_1} 10S, \quad S \xrightarrow{c_2} \emptyset.$$
 (1)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQの

with the number of molecules of S at time t is denoted by X(t). we use

$$X(0) = 0, \quad \Delta = 0.1, \quad \varepsilon = 0.09, \quad P = 50.$$

Reaction constants of R_1 , R_2 are given as $c_1 = 1 \text{ molec s}^{-1}$, $c_2 = 1 \text{s}^{-1}$. Death process is expected to be approximated by diffusion.

Dynamic partitioning



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Weak error analysis by simulation



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

The Lotka-Volterra model

Let S_1 and S_2 denote the prey and the predator, respectively. The corresponding Lotka-Volterra prey-predator model can be depicted as

$$S_1 \xrightarrow{c_1} 2S_1, \quad S_1 + S_2 \xrightarrow{c_2} 2S_2 \quad S_2 \xrightarrow{c_3} \emptyset.$$
 (2)

Let $X_1(t)$ and $X_2(t)$ denote the number of the prey and the predator at time t > 0, respectively, then, the state of the system is defined by $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t))^T \in \mathbb{N}^2_{\geq 0}$. We use

$$X(0) = (900, 800)^{T}, c_1 = 2s^{-1}, c_2 = 0.002 \text{molec}^{-1}s^{-1}, c_3 = 2s^{-1}$$

$$\Delta = 0.5, \ \varepsilon = 0.03, \ P = 50, \ t \in (0, 50)$$

Dynamic partitioning



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Weak error analysis by simulation



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

EGFR signaling and gene expression

- Rate constants and abundances based on Schoeberl et al., Science Sci'09
- 30 signaling reactions, 6 gene expression reactions
- Runge-Kutta strong order 2 SDE integrator





Conclusions

- Multi-scale models essential for systems biology.
- Traditional hybrid models often involve ad-hoc partitioning of species.
- Reaction partitioning leveraging existing approximation results for point processes.
- Generally, every state becomes a jump-diffusion process (i.e. no species partitioning).
- Explicit bound for finite-time error for approximating specific reaction channels.

- Real gain requires higher-order integration schemes for SDEs.
- Bounds for ODE-Jump and ODE-SDE-Jump.